

Public appeal of citizens (laymen) towards The Learned Society of the Czech Republic in regard to their Open Letter on the current handling of COVID-19 pandemic

Prague, 28th September 2020

Dear Learned Society of the Czech Republic

During their term of office (May 2018 - May 2020), by name:

Dear Mrs. Prof. RNDr. Blanka Říhová, DrSc., Chairwoman

Dear Mr. Prof. Mgr. Pavel Jungwirth, Ph.D., DrSc., 1st deputy chairman

Dear Mr. RNDr. Zdeňek Havlas, DrSc., 2nd deputy chairman

Dear Mr. RNDr. Libor Grubhoffer, CSc., Hon. DSc., Deputy chairman of III. department of biological-medical sciences

Dear Mr. Prof. RNDr. Ivan Hirsch, CSc.,

Dear Mr. Prof. RNDr. Julius Lukeš, CSc.,

Dear Mr. Doc. RNDr. Jan Konvalinka, CSc.,

Dear Mr. Prof. MUDr. Vladimír Vonko, DrSc.,

During their term of office (May 2020 - May 2022), by name:

Dear Mr. Prof. Mgr. Pavel Jungwirth, Ph.D., DrSc., Chairman

Dear Mr. Prof. RNDr. Libor Grubhoffer, CSc., 1st deputy chairman

Dear Mrs. Prof. RNDr. Blanka Říhová, DrSc., 2nd deputy chairwoman

Dear Mr. Prof. MUDr. Michal Anděl, CSc., science secretary

Dear Mrs. RNDr. Šárka Nečasová, DSc., chairwoman of I. department of mathematical-physical sciences

Dear Mr. RNDr. Ivo Starý, CSc., chairman of II. department of chemical sciences

Dear Mr. Prof. MUDr. Jan Pirk, DrSc., chairman of III. department of biological-medical sciences

Dear Mr. Prof. PhDr. František Čermák, DrSc., chairman of IV. department of social and humanitarian sciences

Part of citizens, who, to tell the truth, consists only of public lay non-professionals, were quite surprised by your 2020 [May statement towards the Ministry of Health of the Czech Republic](#), and [this month also towards the government of the Czech Republic](#).

In addition, we don't like some media statements of various experts appearing in mainstream media, and also we feel that some voices of other Czech experts are being silenced within public discussions, and thus there is no needed plurality of opinions. Not only that, these people are being professionally mocked for their different opinions, they are being pressured or even indirectly intimidated.

One of your members, Doc. Konvalinka, quite recently [in the case of prof. Tomáš Hudlický, in his answer to the public letter to Brock University in Ontario, Canada](#) wrote, and we quote:

“...As scientists and fortunate citizens of free democratic countries we know very well that free inquiry of ideas, based on freedom of speech, is an absolute prerequisite of any scientific endeavor. Universities have been for centuries the strongholds of free inquiry and questioning even the most sacred ideas has always been the very purpose of their existence. Opinions could and should be challenged, questioned or refuted but never silenced. In order to be able to think, we have to have the right to inquire, question and even offend or be mistaken. Freedom of speech is the most precious of all the freedoms since without it, no other freedom could be defended... ..Please, protect academic freedom, protect freedom of speech...”

In the question of public health, and especially during difficult times, like during this year's announcement of global pandemic, when there are no clearly determined treatment procedures and suddenly there is a new, highly infectious virus, then the above mentioned holds true twice as much.

It is perfectly logical for us that just like the whole society was able to come together during the first days out of concerns about the unknown virus, the whole academic community should come together as well. First, they should have in mind welfare, health and independence of the Czech Republic, for now a free nation.

The other side of this whole pandemic, and let's be honest, is a pursuit of all world scientific authorities and pharmaceutical companies to develop a vaccine and to get fabulous profits, fabulous spending of financial resources for new laboratory equipment, for which they would wait for several years, and other fabulous profits of laboratories for useless PCR testing, efficiency and effectivity of which based on available global information we highly doubt. A regular person has to ask, and forgive our boldness, whether academic and professional community really is interested in that the situation around the pandemic is solved quickly, or whether there really is a possible conflict of interest in this area?

What leads us to such considerations is the fact that we are subject to a completely unprecedented media message through the mainstream media, which is attended by both your leading experts and experts outside your association, and we do not see a public debate taking place, and therefore sufficient control over which way to go to solve this difficult situation.

By the way, out of all these statements and previous acts, only one line can be seen, and that is the one that, in our opinion, few people in the Czech Republic influence. After all, you yourself, with your [appeal in May](#), have only so-called "warmed the soup" to the global principle of solving a "pandemic". As if we were all paralyzed by the central views of the WHO, Bill Gates, Dr. Fauci, American CDC, NIAID, CCDC. Furthermore, there is a very strong censorship of social networks to an unprecedented extent, when various "independent" fact-check organizations, associations and institutions, proclaiming the "one and only true truth", literally emerged as locusts. From our point of view, we have historically had more than one periodical of this kind, where there were only guaranteed true and correct opinions, and this periodical was called "Red Law". Probably no need to comment anymore.

Another peculiarity and strangeness is the political scene, which suddenly turned into a synchronized pandemic concert, with the exception of bright, and from our point of view completely heroic, exceptions. True, it is very important not to cause panic in times of crisis, and it is clear that the opposition must be more tolerant and accommodating at this time, so as not to complicate the solution. Of course, we would accept that, but at most until somewhere around the beginning of June this year. Then the opposition was supposed to control the government harshly, and demand answers to unpleasant questions. We know nothing of such actions we just described, and the whole situation seems to us as one big exercise in which politicians, the pharmaceutical industry, the media, academia and globalists are examining what they can get away with and how far we will let them go with our fear of our health.

In the course of this letter, we will explain to you exactly what we see as the biggest problems, our concerns, and we would also like to ask you for your factual, independent and logical explanations. We also welcome the debate to which we have called on the former Minister of Health in our document ["Public Comments on the National Strategy for Vaccination against Covid-19"](#), in particular to moderate it, and we have also, with no prior agreement to participate in these debates, nominated personalities from the ranks of experts whose opinions and attitudes we are interested in, both from the Czech and foreign scientific and professional scene.

For the above reasons, we have decided to address you with this open appeal, prior to the provision **"at the petition proposal of the civil public of the established commission of inquiry of the Chamber of Deputies called LIGHTNING BOLT / KULOVÝ BLESK"**, in which we will demand the participation of the civil public in the role of investigators in the same number as represented deputies.

We want to focus on the essence of the problems, and we will logically be very interested in your statement. At the same time, we want to appeal to the general and professional public in this way, to realize that in the current global political situation, the establishment of such special commission of inquiry of the Chamber of Deputies is absolutely necessary. It is also the only possible way to protect our public health, but also, and above all, to protect all our rights, freedoms and independence, for the safe future of our children.

We respectfully, therefore, would like to invite your esteemed Learned Society of the Czech Republic to answer a few basic and, from our point of view, completely essential questions and comments, as we perceive them - part of the lay public.

Our position and comments:

- 1) We call on you to do a professional, thorough assessment and statement of whether, from your point of view, the Council of the Learned Society elected for the term May 2018 – May 2020 (please list for each member signed under the May call - individually) faces or faced a possible conflict of interest at the time of signing the appeal in terms of resolving the current pandemic?**

- 2) We call on you to do a professional, thorough assessment and statement of whether, from your point of view, the Council of the Learned Society elected for the term May 2020 – May 2022 (please list for each member signed under the September call - individually) faces or faced a possible conflict of interest at the time of signing the appeal in terms of resolving the current pandemic?**

- 3) We call on you to do a professional, thorough assessment and statement of whether, from your point of view, other publicly speaking experts, personalities or institutions face or have faced a possible conflict of interest from the point of view of resolving the current pandemic? (Due to the fact that this call is public, we will be very happy if the following persons also express themselves separately - thank you)**
 - a. Plk. prof. MUDr. Roman Prymula, CSc., Ph.D.,
 - b. Doc. MUDr. Rastislav Mad'ar, Ph.D., MBA, FRCPS,
 - c. Prof. RNDr. Jaroslav Flegr, CSc.
 - d. Prof. RNDr. Ladislav Dušek, Ph.D.
 - e. MUDr. Jarmila Rážová, Ph.D.
 - f. MUDr. Pavel Březovský, MBA
 - g. MUDr. Zdeňka Jágrová
 - h. Ing. Andrej Babiš
 - i. Adam Vojtěch
 - j. Jan Hamáček

- k. WHO
- l. EU
- m. Bill Gates
- n. Dr. Fauci
- o. American CDC
- p. NIAID
- q. CCDC

- 4) We call on you to answer the question **when, how and who in the Czech Republic verified (in person) that it is a highly infectious viral disease**, as presented to us by the WHO and other global elites and institutions?
- a. From our point of view, we fully understand that some initial information arrives in the Czech Republic, even from a renowned international institution, but we assume that these are then very thoroughly and carefully checked and verified during the first days.
 - b. We cannot imagine that someone would accept and only implement their decisions on the basis of internally unverified information, and the academic community would allow or permit the implementation of absolutely draconian measures with a fatal impact on the economy and life of all Czech citizens?!
 - c. Infectiousness = we are not convinced of it, if you do not have someone from the Czech Republic who has studied the infectiousness of this viral disease, and 100% would confirm information from international sources by their research.
 - d. Method of transmission = we are not at all convinced of such a dramatic method of transmission of infection, where the testing procedure itself (due to the alleged "high infectiousness") and the necessary frequent hand disinfection, is based on a swab with 10 cm sticks in the nostril. In addition, we believe that in some cases around the world, on the contrary, human health is intentionally damaged in this way, because it is painful for them as a result.
 - e. Wearing masks because of droplets?! Even as small children, we were taught at school and at home that if we want to sneeze or cough, we put our hand in front of our mouth. In our society, probably no one in public space has any fundamental problem with this elementary rule, so the public can be taught if they do not feel well, to stay at home, and follow some kind of a process.
 - f. In addition, wearing a mask quite logically reduces O₂ in the body and blood, and conversely increases CO₂.



- g. The surgeon is at work in an air-conditioned and sterilized workplace. It doesn't seem healthy or sensible to us to be at the cash register all day behind plexiglass with a mask covering our nose and mouth. The same is true for workers in the catering industry and other services.
- h. In addition, from our point of view, constantly taking off and putting on the masks and carrying them in the pockets will not improve the health situation either. Not to mention its aggravation, due to stress, and the senseless escalation of problems both in families (differing views on measures) and in public transport, especially in enforcing the requirements of chaotic regulations by city police, security guards and shopping centers, self-proclaimed civic patrols and "conscious" citizens of public life, such as Jan Tuna, Petr Ludwig and many others.
- i. And we don't understand why and for what reason the nose must be covered? And when the various colds and rhinitis begin, it will only start that proper mask-health...
- j. Related to this is the need for all disinfection, masks and social distancing measures, which from our point of view are meaningless and completely unnecessary. See the situation in Sweden, the right course of action, in our opinion, is to leave everything to the voluntary consideration of whether or not to protect oneself from the "flu".
- k. Who ultimately takes full responsibility for their health?
- l. Who is fully responsible for the fact, that possibly no one has verified anything?

5) We call on you to answer the question, **when, how and who in the Czech Republic verified (personally) the correctness, efficiency and effectiveness of PCR tests?**

- a. From our point of view, we fully understand that some initial information arrives in the Czech Republic, even from a renowned international institution, but we assume that these are then very thoroughly and carefully checked and verified during the first days.
- b. We cannot imagine that someone would accept and only implement their decisions on the basis of internally unverified information, and the academic community would allow or permit the implementation of absolutely draconian measures with a fatal impact on the economy and life of all Czech citizens?
- c. According to the available and verified information, prof. Drosten from the Berlin Charité compiled a PCR test as early as 1.1.2020 without any published virus sequences, and in addition states in his study that this test is explicitly for research purposes only.

- d. In addition, there seems to be a problem with one of the basic sequences, which is part of the WHO protocol for PCR tests and this, according to the gene bank, is located on chromosome 8 of each homo sapiens (for details see above in reference to our "Comments on the document of MZČR - National strategy for vaccination - Covid-19")
- e. How is it possible that there was a long line in Wenceslas Square, crowds of people maybe positive, maybe not? Does it sound normal to you?
- f. How is it possible that patients who register for a test and then don't undergo it in the end due to large queues still receive an SMS with a positive result?
- g. Who is fully responsible for the fact, that possibly no one has verified anything?

6) We call on you to answer the question when, how and who in the Czech Republic verified (in person) whether it is a natural virus or an artificially created virus in the laboratory?

- a. In our opinion, this is a fundamental and key issue, and it must be investigated very thoroughly.
- b. If the virus was created in laboratory conditions within the so-called GOF research "Gain of function", where this research is also considered unethical by a substantial part of the global scientific community, and in addition in the US in 2015-2017 after stormy discussion and pressure from scientists this research was banned / frozen, therefore it is an absolute unprecedented necessity to verify whether and how something like this has possibly leaked to public, and who will bear the consequences?!

7) We call on you to answer the question, what is the difference between the common flu virus and the new SARS-COV-2 virus?

- a. So far, we understand that the only difference should be that it is supposedly more infectious, ie. it is said to infect more people in its zone of influence (we assume there is no domestic verification of this information yet, so in fact we all just speculate).
- b. In what other way does this virus differ from other diseases, except for the above mentioned, that the former Minister of Health Mgr. Vojtěch had a need to talk about the stigma associated with Covid-19 for some reason? Is it stigmatization in the sense that a potential patient will be picked up and treated by Covid ambulance?
- c. On the one hand prof. Prymula speaks openly about the so-called "flu eradication" and we are left with Covid-19. We're sorry, but this seems a bit far-fetched.

- 8) **We call on you to answer the question, is Covid-19 disease, caused by the SARS-COV-2 virus:**
- a. **Infectious respiratory disease**, and how do you know? Has there been any verification in the Czech Republic? Who, how, when and where verified it?
 - b. **Infectious blood disease**, and how do you know? Has there been any verification in the Czech Republic? Who, how, when and where verified it?
- 9) **We call on you to answer the question of how many autopsies on deaths from Covid-19 caused by the SARS-COV-2 virus were performed and with what result? In addition, please answer how many of them died of pulmonary ventilation?**
- 10) **We call on you to answer the question of what vaccinations people, who died of Covid-19 caused by the SARS-COV-2 virus, were given in the last 12 months before their death?** (vaccine, manufacturer, date of vaccination)
- 11) **We call on you to answer the question of what vaccinations people, who died of Covid-19 caused by the SARS-CoV-2 virus, were given before their hospitalization?** (vaccine, manufacturer, date of vaccination)

From our layman's point of view, these are the most fundamental questions that should be answered in the best interest of not only all of us, but also people all over the world. After all, our taxes fund science, research and development, so we have the right to demand answers from those whose activities we have supported for years. We assume that your esteemed Learned Society of the Czech Republic has certainly tried to answer these questions, and that it will not be difficult for you to react to all of them in a short time.

Now, to your own public statements:

On May 5, 2020, you sent a public call to the Minister of Health of the Czech Republic, Mr. Mgr. Adam Vojtěch, MHA, in which you state:

- a. the professional public in virology and immunology of infectious diseases **was surprised by the decision to invest several tens of millions of crowns in the development of a Czech vaccine** for the new coronavirus SARS-Cov-2, the cause of the current pandemic of COVID-19;
- b. Apparently, **people without competent knowledge and experience**, not only in the field of vaccine research and production, but also very probably also without knowledge of the mechanisms of the immune response in viral infections, **were involved in the creation of such a project;**
- c. **you even write about the naivety with which the MZČR project was presented;**
- d. furthermore, you write that, **fortunately**, a report appeared from Brussels on the same day, when **a respectable amount of EUR 7.4 billion** was collected from world governments under the so-called 'EU Pledge Conference';
- e. at the same time, you are proposing that, by involving our state-of-the-art workplaces built with EU funds in recent years, **we could at least partially repay our commitments to Europe;**
- f. **you offer your knowledge and experience** gained in the development of vaccines for infectious diseases of viral origin and **assistance in formulating a research program in the field of immunoprevention of Covid-19 disease.**

Questions and comments on your May AppealCall:

- 1) We apologize if we misunderstand, but on the one hand you wrote to the former Minister of Health Mgr. Adam Vojtěch that you were surprised by the government's decision to invest tens of millions of crowns in the development of the Czech vaccine, saying that in the making of it there were people without competent knowledge and necessary experience, you even mention the naivety of the whole project, and then offer cooperation and involvement of our top workplaces built with EU funds in recent years? Can you please explain your thinking process to us ordinary people?
- 2) According to your esteemed Learned Society, what commitments exactly should the Czech Republic partially repay to Europe? What is the balance of total expenditures and revenues in the Czech / EU relationship?



- 3) Should we understand it that we, as a country, are totally incapable and unusable for our own development, but dependence on foreign vaccine development that will be beyond our control and profit, even if we disregard the fact that, from our point of view, the vaccine as such is completely meaningless, so here we have something to prove in the field of vaccine development for international pharmaceutical companies, and you yourself, even something to offer, in the field of your knowledge and experience, in addition to formulating a research program in the field of immunoprevention of Covid-19..... Um, let us guess, is it a new project from the European Union? Do not be angry with us, but why not invest in our own and as a result probably cheaper solution and not provide Europe with another possible alternative? Why don't we make vaccines on our own and with full responsibility for the result? Don't you care about us, the citizens of the Czech Republic?

- 4) Why are we even talking about vaccines for the virus, which from our point of view, thanks to its rapid mutation, which must always be very carefully estimated in advance for influenza vaccines in a given season, just like the weather forecast (is accurate or not), which mutation will it be, what type of flu virus, etc. This does not make any sense for SARS-COV-2. Before the vaccine is made, the virus will have mutated and the nice vaccine will most likely be completely useless? So what is the true meaning of the vaccine being produced?

- 5) Why, as with the last epidemic in 2005, is there no talk of antiviral treatment? According to the information we already know, this is a completely common method of treatment for a viral disease? The last antiviral drug, Tamiflu, cost us CZK 300 million, and ended up in a state reserve warehouse, and was subsequently liquidated after the expiration date - so what's the difference now?

- 6) We hope you also remember the scandalous accusation of the pharmaceutical company Roche, the Cochrane Collaboration study, which claims that Tamiflu was no better than ordinary paracetamol? Um, so it's just about spreading fear and about an antiviral treatment that no one wants to mention much, so that someone doesn't happen to put together the solution to the problems of today's Pandemic in connection with Tamiflu? Do you realize that if someone had checked all of the above in March 2020, we might not have had a 500 billion hole in the state budget today, an economic recession, major long-lasting generational problems, a devastated economy and the middle class? Dear Learned Society of the Czech Republic, who is to blame? For all those years, have we paid most of you for all of this?



- 7) Why do we feel that instead of defending the interests of the Czech Republic, in your public statement you rather favored the European Union, because a lot of projects and research work is funded by the European Union? We apologize, but do you really not realize that the money from the European Union for us is de-facto ours?
- 8) Where has a respectable amount of EUR 7.4 billion gone? Does anyone know? Did Novavax, financed by the PATH project and Bill Gates through the CEPI, buy a production plant in Bohumily for part of this money? Didn't CEPI just get some money from the EU? Why don't you comment on this when, on the other hand, you are quite clear about the naivety with which the MZČR project was presented?! How many open letters and statements have you written to organizations such as the EU, WHO about resolving the COVID-19 pandemic? Why don't you criticize the naive solutions of Bill Gates and George Soros himself for his constant financial interference in the media, politics, hate speech, and its radicalization, etc.? Why don't you sue them in international courts? Aren't you learned too much for it? Or do you simply not have a backbone, and are you so ignorant or perhaps conscious accomplices of planned treason? Strong words, we know. But in today's situation completely justified.

On September 15, 2020, you sent a public call, this time to the Government of the Czech in which you state:

- a. **you are watching with great concern the widespread spread of COVID-19 infection** in the Czech population;
- b. **The government's announced relaxation of anti-epidemic measures has proved to be a big mistake;**
- c. today's situation **is due to a combination of insufficient provision of the services** of experienced professionals in the field of hygiene, epidemiology and infectious medicine;
- d. responsible state structures **have succumbed in some areas to pressure from lobbying structures or those who are not directly experts in epidemiology or infectious medicine;**
- e. As **an example of incompetent approach,** at the beginning of the pandemic, the Ministry of Health of the Czech Republic **decided to develop a COVID-19 vaccine on its own and entrusted this task to three state-run departmental research institutes without the necessary feasibility analysis;**
- f. research capacity efforts should instead be focused on **mapping the variability of the biological properties of the new coronavirus, on understanding the immunobiology and pathogenesis of the new coronavirus infection;**



- g. **the development of modern diagnostics of the coronavirus agent** on the basis of rapid and reliable tests and the application of the acquired knowledge to the epidemiology of the pandemic process in our country;
- h. **researchers have already shown in the spring and summer that they are able to put their intellectual and instrumental capacities at the service of anti-pandemic activities;**
- i. we call upon the Government of the Czech Republic to base the **further procedure of the control of the current coronavirus pandemic exclusively on the opinions of competent experts** in the field of hygiene, epidemiology and infectious medicine;
- j. we recommend that **we find inspiration for our country in the actions of neighboring countries** that are currently more successful **in the fight against the coronavirus pandemic**, such as Slovakia, Poland, Germany and Austria;

Questions and comments on your September Appeal:

- 1) Please explain to us how you can conclude, and therefore on what you base your thinking about the wide spreading of COVID-19 infection, when we probably do not have to explain the whole topic of questionable PCR tests, which we warn about in our comments on the document “National strategy of vaccination for COVID-19 disease” made for MZČR?
- 2) The loosening of the measures was a big mistake, and we ask from what point of view? From the point of view of false positive, expensive and meaningless PCR tests, or, conversely, from the point of view of the currently growing resistance and civil disobedience? In our opinion, it is the latter based on the logic of the matter, because either you all return your diplomas and degrees, or you immediately admit to your mistake, and quickly correct the whole situation, which we all hope will never happen again in the history of at least the Czech Republic!
- 3) Today's situation is definitely not due to a combination of insufficient provision of expert services, because from our point of view, this situation is due to the silencing and intimidation of real and independent experts, and your esteemed Learned Society, you play the first violin led by prof. Prymula to these globalist and Euro Hujerov high-ups to the detriment of all us citizens of the Czech Republic!
- 4) If memory does not confuse us, Mrs. Dr. Peková came up with an effective and fast test at the very beginning of the whole pandemic. Why didn't you care about her knowledge and help her enforce her scientific knowledge, and speed up and streamline the testing process itself?
- 5) Suddenly, in the spring and summer, scientists already showed that they are able to put their intellectual and instrumental capacities at the service of anti-pandemic activities and to development of vaccines for foreign corporations within EU projects, but when it comes to the development of our own vaccine or drug, your esteemed Learned Society of the Czech Republic thinks we would be naively spending our public money?!

- 6) You appeal on the Government of the Czech Republic to base the further procedure of mitigating the current coronavirus pandemic exclusively on the opinions of competent experts?! How can you even dare to write something like this and publicly?! What would you like to mitigate?! Nonsensical coronavirus measures to make enough money from PCR testing, enough fear of a pandemic to make it easier to accept saving your health by taking a rapidly developed vaccine?! Shame on you!
- 7) According to you, the government of the Czech Republic should look for inspiration in neighboring countries. In what sense and what inspiration do you specifically mean? The introduction of restrictive measures, limitations of rights and freedoms, censorship, attacks on citizens for not wearing masks and threats of high fines for refusing testing and vaccination?!

We would like to draw your attention to the fact that if there is no immediate remedy, we will investigate all of the above immediately after the establishment of the inquiry commission of the Chamber of Deputies called LIGHTNING BOLT / KULOVÝ BLESK, established at the petition proposal of the civil public. We have experts from all over the world behind us and we will ensure that once and for all this bioterrorist corona theater of global elites never takes place with your support. Get used to OLD NORMAL, very quickly!

For part of the already **very angry** lay public of the Czech Republic,

In Prague on September 23, 2020

David Formánek, Praha 8 Radek Pech, Praha 9 Karl Schwarz, Praha 8 Iveta Kovářová

